

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,164	07/13/2006	Kwang-Sik Kim	2080-31	7020
52706 IPLA P.A.	7590 12/01/20	09	EXAMINER	
3550 WILSH		GRABOWSKI, KYLE ROBERT		
17TH FLOOI LOS ANGEL	R .ES. CA 90010	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/597,164	KIM ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Kyle Grabowski	3725			

	Kyle Grabowski	3725					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SU/S (in OVIT) 15 from the mailing date of the communication. - INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SU/S (is) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or admedide pariod for reply will by shatted, cause the application to become ARAMONIED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any careed partner term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Au</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
A	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the co- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
A44b4/->							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SD/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/597,164 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1, 3-4, and 7-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hires (US 2,254,893).
- 4. In respect to claims 1, 3, and 7, Hires discloses a binder sheet removably inserted into a binder comprising: a binding portion, having holes 2, and a paper storing portion 4; an upper horizontal band 8 (opposite binding portion) attached to an upper portion (along the edge) of the paper storing portion, with vertical attachment lines on left and right side edge of the upper horizontal band 8 (i.e. the entire upper portion is adhered and any small slice construed to be an "edge" has a vertical line of adhesive

Application/Control Number: 10/597,164 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

thereon); a lower horizontal band 8 (adjacent binding portion) attached to an lower portion of the paper storing portion, with vertical attachment lines on left and right side edge of the upper horizontal band 8; and a middle horizontal band (e.g. 13 or 14) between the upper and lower bands (Fig. 1); the binder sheet is capable of exposing large portions of paper (e.g. paper 6) other than portions covered by the bands. Hires discloses that the binder sheet may be formed of any desired material (Col. 1, 52-54) but does not specifically disclose a transparent material however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the binder sheet as a transparent material since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Further, known transparent materials such as cellophane are disclosed in Hires (Col. 2, 39-41)

- 5. In respect to claim 4, Hires disclose all of the claimed subject matter for the reasons stated above. If the binding portion is construed to be the left side, bands 8 are left and right vertical bands.
- In respect to claim 8, Hires further disclose that the upper and lower edge bands8 may be opaque (colored).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-4, and 7-8, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyle Grabowski whose telephone number is (571)270Application/Control Number: 10/597,164 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

3518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on (571)272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kyle Grabowski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3725 /Dana Ross/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725